BEFORE NANCY KEENAN, SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION STATE OF MONTANA

2 * * * * * * * * * *

TERI LYNN ADAMS,)
Appellant,)

DECISION AND ORDER
VS.

OSPI 172-89

MUSSELSHELL COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE,

Respondent.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This is an appeal of a decision of the Melstone School
District No. 64-3 Board of Trustees to discontinue a bus route
serving Appellant. This decision was appealed to the
Musselshell County Transportation Committee, hereinafter
"Committee." A meeting was held on May 8, 1989, and a decision
rendered on June 26, 1989. The decision of the Committee was
subsequently appealed to the State Superintendent.

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is remanded to the Committee for hearing in compliance with the statutes and rules governing an appeal of a transportation decision of a board of trustees.

The pertinent statute is found in Section 20-10-132, MCA, which provides in pari materia:

- (1) It shall be the duty of the county transportation committee to:
- of transportation controversies which have been appealed from the decision of the trustees and act on such appeals on the basis of the facts established at such

, /iii

hearing.

Such fact-finding hearings are conducted pursuant to the Rules of Procedure for All School Controversy found at 10.6.101, et seq., A.R.M. In particular, Rule 10.6.119(3) requires that "the committee shall meet and vote in open session whether to grant or deny the appeal or request for consideration. The members of the majority shall appoint one member to prepare findings of fact, conclusions of law and order which shall then be adopted at an open meeting of the transportation committee and signed by all members of the majority. Any member of the minority may put the reasons for his/her vote in writing, and this shall be made part of the record."

Jurisdiction of this Superintendent over county transportation committee decisions is found in Section 20-10-132(2), MCA, which states:

After a fact-finding hearing and decision on a transportation controversy, the trustees or a patron of the district may appeal such decision to the superintendent of public instruction who shall render a decision on the basis of the facts established at the county transportation committee hearing.

This Superintendent has desire to make the administrative process a burdensome one. However, it is her duty to see that due process is given to all parties -- school districts and patrons.

The record in this matter is sketchy at best. It consists of very limited minutes of meetings held May 8 and June 26,

1989. In addition, there are transcriptions of two tapes --

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

2122

23

24

25

one identified as from the May 8th meeting and the other unidentified. The parties speaking are not identified and there are innumerable gaps, inaudible portions and obvious omissions in the transcriptions. There is no indication that any testimony was given under oath or affirmation. The transcription, such as it is, is not reviewed, identified or certified. There is no findings of fact, conclusions of law and order. Further, Respondent has made no response or filed any briefs.

The procedure followed in this case failed to comply with statutory requirements and did not produce a record sufficient for this Superintendent to review pursuant to Sections 20-10-132 and 20-3-107, MCA.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this <u>24</u> day of October, 1989.

NANCY KEENAN

State Superintendent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that on the day of October, 1989, a true and exact copy of the foregoing <u>Decision and Order</u> was mailed, postage prepaid, to the following:

Margaret A. Reighard County Superintendent Musselshell Co. Crthse. Box 157 Roundup, MT 59072 Teri Lynn Adams Box 273 Melstone, MT 59054

Paralegal-Assistant